PTO/SB/21 (11-07)
Approved for use through 11/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL **FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

	·		
	Application Number	09/618,950	
	Filing Date	July 19, 2000	
	First Named Inventor	Brian Lo Bue	200
	Art Unit	2153	
	Examiner Name	Strange, Aaron N.	
-	Attorney Docket Number	CISCO-1608 (032590-084)	

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC				
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers		□ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences □ Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
Amendment / Reply (38 pgs.)							
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addres	ss	Status Letter				
	Terminal Disclaimer	×	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)	2)	Interview Summary - 1 pg. Definition of "compile" - 1 pg. Return postcard				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Landscape Table on CD		o, rotalin postoaro				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks						
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name	THELEN REID BROWN RAYSMAN & STEINER LLP						
Signature	how						
Printed Name	John P. Schaub						
Date	January 10, 2008	Reg. No.	42,125				
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSIO	SALIDA A IL INI	0				

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Signature	Jacen adven	·	
Typed or printed name	Karen A. Rogers	Date	January 10, 2008

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Atty. Docket No.: CISCO-1608 (032590-084)

Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: 09/618,950	First Name	ed Applicant: Br	ian Lo Bue	<u>:</u>	
Examiner: Aaron N. Strange	Art Unit: 2153 Stat	tus of Applicatio	n: <u>Pendin</u>	g_	
Participants: (1) John P. Sch	aub (2) <u>Aar</u>	on N. Strange			
(3)	(4)		······································		
Date of Interview: 12/4/2007	Time: 3:00 PM (EDT)				
Type of Interview: (a) [X] Telephonic	(b) [] Personal	(c) []) [] Video Conference		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrate If yes, provide brief description		•			
	Issues Discusse	ed			
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) <u>§ 102 Rej.</u> <u>1</u> (2) <u>§ 112 Rej.</u> <u>1</u>	Ben-Dor et al.	[X] [X]	[] []	[X] [X]	
[] Continuation Sheet Attached [] Copy of Amendment attached					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
The § 102 rejection of Claim 1 based on Ben-Dor et al. and the § 112 rejection of Claim 1 were discussed. No agreement was reached.					
Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substated A complete written statement as to the stapplication must be made of record in the lin every instance where reconsideration reasons presented at the interview as was necessity for reply to Office action as spe	ubstance of any face-to-face, video confo te application whether or not an agreem is requested in view of an interview wit rranting favorable action must be filed	erence, or telephone in tent with the Examiner than Examiner, a comp be the Applicant. An i	r was reached plete written s	at the interview.	
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)					